

PACKING k -EDGE TREES IN GRAPHS OF RESTRICTED VERTEX DEGREES

Alexander K. Kelmans

University of Puerto Rico, San Juan, Puerto Rico

Rutgers University, New Brunswick, New Jersey

Abstract

Let $\mathcal{G}_r^s$ denote the set of graphs with each vertex of degree at least r and at most s , $v(G)$ the number of vertices, and $\tau_k(G)$ the maximum number of disjoint k -edge trees in G . In this paper we show that

- (a1) if $G \in \mathcal{G}_2^s$ and $s \geq 4$, then $\tau_2(G) \geq v(G)/(s+1)$,
- (a2) if $G \in \mathcal{G}_2^3$ and G has no 5-vertex components, then $\tau_2(G) \geq v(G)/4$,
- (a3) if $G \in \mathcal{G}_1^s$ and G has no k -vertex component, where $k \geq 2$ and $s \geq 3$, then $\tau_k(G) \geq (v(G) - k)/(sk - k + 1)$, and
- (a4) the above bounds are attained for infinitely many connected graphs.

Our proofs provide polynomial time algorithms for finding the corresponding packings in a graph.

Keywords: subgraph packing, 2-edge and k -edge paths, k -edge trees, polynomial time approximation algorithms.

1 Introduction

We consider simple undirected graphs. All notions on graphs that are not defined here can be found in [1,3]. Given a graph G and a set $\mathcal{F}$ of subgraphs of G , an $\mathcal{F}$ -packing of G is a subgraph of G whose components are members of $\mathcal{F}$. The $\mathcal{F}$ -packing problem is that of finding an $\mathcal{F}$ -packing having the maximum number of vertices. Various $\mathcal{F}$ -packing problems have extensively been studied by many authors for different families $\mathcal{F}$ (e.g. [2,4–7,12–17]).

It is not surprising that $\mathcal{F}$ -packing problem turns out to be NP -hard for most of the families $\mathcal{F}$. Surprisingly the problem can be solved in polynomial time for some non-trivial families. For example, Edmonds (see [17]) showed that a classical matching problem can be solved in polynomial time. It is also known that the problem of packing stars of at least one and at most k edges is polynomially solvable even if the stars, we pack, are required to be induced [7,9]. On the other hand, the problem of finding in G the maximum number of disjoint subgraphs, isomorphic to a given connected graph H of at least three vertices, is NP -hard [5]. The problem remains NP -hard for cubic graphs if H is a path having at least two edges [11].

In this paper we consider the H -packing problem when H is a tree and, in particular, when $H = \Lambda$, a path of two edges. Although the Λ -packing problem is NP -hard, i.e. possibly intractable in general, this problem turns out to be tractable for some natural classes of graphs. Here are some examples of such results.

Let $v(G)$ denote the number of vertices of a graph G and $\tau_k(G)$ denote the maximum number of disjoint k -edge trees in G . We also put $\tau_2(G) = \lambda(G)$.

A graph is called *claw-free* if it contains no induced subgraph isomorphic to $K_{1,3}$ (which is called a *claw*).

A block B of a graph G is called an *end-block* of G if B has exactly one vertex adjacent to a vertex in $G - B$.

Obviously $\lambda(G) \leq \lfloor v(G)/3 \rfloor$.

1.1 [6] *Suppose that G is a connected claw-free graph having at most two end-blocks (in particular, a 2-connected claw-free graph). Then $\lambda(G) = \lfloor v(G)/3 \rfloor$.*

Let G^Δ be the graph obtained from a cubic graph by “replacing” every vertex by a triangle. From **1.1** we have, in particular, for cubic graphs:

1.2 [6] *Suppose that G is a connected cubic graph having at most two end-blocks (in particular, a 2-connected graph). Then $\lambda(G^\Delta) = \lfloor v(G^\Delta)/3 \rfloor$.*

Let $eb(G)$ denote the number of end-blocks of G . The previous theorem follows from the following more general result.

1.3 [6] *Suppose that G is a simple connected claw-free graph and $eb(G) \geq 2$. Then $\lambda(G) \geq \lfloor (v(G) - eb(G) + 2)/3 \rfloor$, and this lower bound is sharp.*

1.4 [12] *Let T be a tree on t vertices and let $\epsilon > 0$. Suppose that G is a d -regular graph on n vertices and $d \geq \frac{128t^3}{\epsilon^2} \ln(\frac{128t^3}{\epsilon^2})$. Then G contains at least $(1 - \epsilon)n/t$ vertex disjoint copies of T . In particular, if G_n is a d_n -regular graph on n vertices and $d_n \rightarrow \infty$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$, then G_n contains at least $(1 - o(1))n/t$ (and, obviously, at most n/t) disjoint trees isomorphic to T .*

1.5 [13] *Let G be a cubic graph. Then $\lambda(G) \geq v(G)/4$.*

Let $\mathcal{G}_r^s$ denote the set of graphs with each vertex of degree at least r and at most s . Our main question on Λ -packings is:

How many disjoint 2-edge paths must an n -vertex graph G from $\mathcal{G}_2^s$ have?

In **1.6** and **1.7** we give corresponding lower bounds on the numbers in question. We also show (see **1.8**) that these bounds are tight.

1.6 *Suppose that $G \in \mathcal{G}_2^3$ and G has no 5-vertex components. Then $\lambda(G) \geq v(G)/4$.*

Obviously **1.5** follows from **1.6** because if G is a cubic graph then $G \in \mathcal{G}_2^3$ and G has no 5-vertex components.

1.7 *Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_2^s$, $s \geq 4$. Then $\lambda(G) \geq v(G)/(s + 1)$.*

We give constructions (see Section 4) that allow us to prove the following:

1.8 *There are infinitely many connected graphs for which the bounds in **1.6** and **1.7** are attained. Moreover, for every integer k , such that $1 \leq k \leq s$, there are infinitely many subdivisions of k -regular graphs for which the bounds in **1.6** and **1.7** are attained.*

We also consider a special case of the $\mathcal{F}$ -packing problem when $\mathcal{F}$ is the set of all connected subgraphs of G having k edges. Let $\mathcal{T}_k$ denote the set of all trees having k edges. Obviously this problem is equivalent to the $\mathcal{T}_k$ -packing problem, the problem of finding in a graph the maximum number of disjoint trees of k edges. Notice that Theorem 1.4 provides a similar asymptotic result for the $\mathcal{T}_k$ -packing problem. Our question on $\mathcal{T}_k$ -packings is:

How many disjoint k -edge trees must an n -vertex graph G from $\mathcal{G}_1^s$ have?

In 1.9 we give a lower bound on the number in question. We also show (see 1.10) that these bounds are tight.

Our main result on the $\mathcal{T}_k$ -packing problem are the following:

1.9 *Let s and k be integers, $s \geq 3$, $k \geq 2$. Suppose that $G \in \mathcal{G}_1^s$ and G has no k -vertex component. Then $\tau_k(G) \geq (v(G) - k)/(sk - k + 1)$.*

One of the constructions in Section 4 allows to prove:

1.10 *There are infinitely many connected graphs for which the bound in 1.9 is attained.*

Our proofs provide polynomial time algorithms for finding the corresponding packings in graphs from $\mathcal{G}_r^s$. Thus these algorithms are polynomial approximation algorithms for the corresponding problems.

The results of this paper were presented at the Workshop ‘‘Graph Partitions’’ in DIMACS, Rutgers University, in July, 2000 (see also [10]).

2 Main notions, notation and simple observations

Let G be a simple graph. We use the following notation and notions:

$V = V(G)$ and $E = E(G)$ are the sets of vertices and edges of a graph G , respectively,

$v(G) = |V(G)|$ and $e(G) = |E(G)|$,

if $x \in V(G)$, then $d(x, G) = d(x)$ is the degree of x in G ,

xPy is a path with the end-vertices x and y ,

an $\mathcal{F}$ -packing of G is a subgraph of G whose components are members of $\mathcal{F}$,

a Λ -packing is a subgraph of G whose components are 2-edge paths in G ,

$\lambda(G)$ is the maximum number of vertex disjoint 2-paths in G ,

$\tau_k(G)$ is the maximum number of disjoint k -edge trees in G , where $k \geq 1$, and so $\lambda(G) = \tau_2(G)$.

A *path-thread* in a graph G is a maximal path P in G such that each vertex of degree two in P is also of degree two in G . A *cycle-thread* in G is a cycle C in G such that each vertex of C , except for one, is of degree two in G . A *thread* is either a path-thread or a cycle-thread.

A *block* of a connected graph G is a maximal connected subgraph H of G such that

$H - v$ is connected for every $v \in V(H)$. A block B of a graph G is called an *end-block* of G if B has exactly one vertex adjacent to a vertex in $G - B$.

A *leaf* of a graph G is either a vertex of degree one or an end-block of at least two edges in G . An *H-leaf* is a leaf isomorphic to a graph H . If k is an integer, then a *k-leaf* is a leaf having k vertices.

Let, as above, $\mathcal{G}_r^s$ denote the set of graphs with each vertex of degree at least r and at most s .

Let $\mathcal{F}_2^3$ denote the set of graphs such that

- (a1) $d(x, G) \in \{2, 3\}$ for every vertex x of G and
- (a2) G has no 5-vertex components.

Given a class of graphs $\mathcal{A}$, a graph G is called *$\mathcal{A}$ -minimal* if $G \in \mathcal{A}$ and $G - e \notin \mathcal{A}$ for every $e \in E(G)$. Obviously $\mathcal{G}_r^s$ -minimal and $\mathcal{F}_2^3$ -minimal graphs exist.

It is easy to see the following.

2.1 *Let G be a graph.*

(c1) *If $G \in \mathcal{F}_2^3$ ($G \in \mathcal{G}_r^s$), then G has an $\mathcal{F}_2^3$ -minimal (respectively, $\mathcal{G}_r^s$ -minimal) spanning subgraph F and $\lambda(G) \geq \lambda(F)$.*

(c2) *A graph G is $\mathcal{F}_2^3$ -minimal if and only if each edge of G is incident to either a vertex of degree two in G or to a vertex of a 5-leaf of G .*

2.2 *Let $G \in \mathcal{F}_2^3$, Q be a leaf of G . Then $Q \in \mathcal{F}_2^3$, the boundary vertex q of Q is of degree two in Q , and there is a (unique) path-thread $xTy = S(Q)$ in G such that $x = q \in V(Q)$ and $y \in V(G - Q)$.*

If Q is a leaf of a graph $G \in \mathcal{F}_2^3$, then $S(Q)$ is called the *stem* of the leaf Q . Let $\hat{Q}$ be the graph obtained from $Q \cup S(Q)$ by removing the vertex of degree one.

3 Graph reductions

Our proving strategy is to establish various properties of a minimum counterexample, and finally conclude that it cannot exist. At various stages in this process, we will need some operations that reduce a minimum counterexample to a smaller one providing a contradiction. In this section we present such reductions.

3.1 *Let G be a graph, xTy be a thread of G , where possibly $x = y$, $T' = T - \{x, y\}$, and $G' = G - T'$ (see Figure ??). Let $s \geq 3$ be an integer.*

- (a1) *If $s \geq 4$ and $e(T) \geq 4$, then $\lambda(G') \geq v(G')/(s+1) \Rightarrow \lambda(G) \geq v(G)/(s+1)$.*
- (a2) *If $s = 3$ and $v(T') \in \{3k, 3k+1\}$, where $k \geq 1$ is an integer, then $\lambda(G') \geq v(G')/4 \Rightarrow \lambda(G) \geq v(G)/4$.*

Proof Clearly $v(G) = v(G') + v(T')$ and $\lambda(G) \geq \lambda(G') + \lfloor v(T')/3 \rfloor$. Now $\lambda(G') \geq v(G')/(s+1) \Rightarrow \lambda(G) \geq v(G')/(s+1) + \lfloor v(T')/3 \rfloor = v(G)/(s+1) + \gamma_s(T')$ where $\gamma_s(T') = \lfloor v(T')/3 \rfloor - v(T')/(s+1)$. Since $e(T) \geq 4$, clearly $v(T') \geq 3$.

Suppose that $s \geq 4$. Then obviously $\gamma_s(T') \geq 0$.

Now suppose that $s = 3$. If $v(T') = 3k$, then $\gamma_3(T') = k - 3k/4 = t/4$. If $v(T') = 3k + 1$, then $\gamma_3(T') = k - (3k + 1)/4 = (k - 1)/4 \geq 0$. Since $k \geq 1$, in both cases $\lambda(G) \geq v(G)/(s + 1)$. $\square$

3.2 Let G be a graph, xTy be a thread of G , and $T' = T - \{x, y\}$. Let G' be obtained from $G - T'$ by adding a new vertex z and two new edges xz and yz (see Figure ??). Suppose that $v(T') = 3k + 2$, where $k \geq 1$ is an integer. Then $\lambda(G') \geq v(G')/4 \Rightarrow \lambda(G) \geq v(G)/4$.

Proof Clearly $v(G) = v(G') + v(T') - 1$. Since $v(T') = 3k + 2$ and $k \geq 1$, clearly $\lambda(T') = k$. Let $\mathcal{P}'$ be a maximum Λ -packing in G' , and so $\lambda(G') = |\mathcal{P}'|$. Let xst be a subpath of T .

(p1) Suppose that $\{xz, yz\} \cap E(\mathcal{P}') = \emptyset$. Then $\lambda(G) \geq \lambda(G') + \lambda(T') = \lambda(G') + k$. Now $\lambda(G') \geq v(G')/4 \Rightarrow \lambda(G) \geq v(G')/4 + k = (v(G) - v(T') + 1)/4 + k = v(G)/4 + k - (3k + 1)/4 = v(G)/4 + (k - 1)/4 \geq v(G)/4$.

(p2) Suppose that $|\{xz, yz\} \cap E(\mathcal{P}')| = 1$, say rxz is a 2-edge path in $\mathcal{P}'$ for some $r \in V(G - T')$. Let $\mathcal{P}''$ be a maximum Λ -packing in $T'' = T' - s$, and $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}' \cup \mathcal{P}'' - rxz \cup rxs$. Then $\mathcal{P}$ is a Λ -packing in G , and so $\lambda(G) \geq |\mathcal{P}| = |\mathcal{P}'| + |\mathcal{P}''| = \lambda(G') + \lambda(T'')$. Since $v(T'') = v(T') - 1 = 3k + 1$, we have $\lambda(T'') = k$. Therefore, as in (p1), $\lambda(G') \geq v(G')/4 \Rightarrow \lambda(G) \geq v(G')/4 + k \geq v(G)/4$.

(p3) Now suppose that $xz, yz \in E(\mathcal{P}')$, i.e. $xz'y$ is a 2-edge path in $\mathcal{P}'$. Let $\mathcal{P}''$ be a (unique) maximum Λ -packing in $T'' = T' - \{s, t\}$. Let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}' \cup \mathcal{P}'' - xzy \cup xst$. Then $\mathcal{P}$ is a Λ -packing in G , and so $\lambda(G) \geq |\mathcal{P}| = |\mathcal{P}'| + |\mathcal{P}''| = \lambda(G') + \lambda(T'')$. Since $v(T'') = v(T') - 2 = 3k$, we have $\lambda(T'') = k$. Therefore again, as in (p1), $\lambda(G') \geq v(G')/4 \Rightarrow \lambda(G) \geq v(G')/4 + k \geq v(G)/4$. $\square$

3.3 Let $e \in E(G)$. Suppose that $G - e = A \cup B$, where A and B are disjoint subgraphs of G and $v(A) \geq 3$. Suppose also that $\lambda(A) = \lfloor v(A)/3 \rfloor$. Let $G' = B$. Then the implication $\lambda(G') \geq v(G')/(s + 1) \Rightarrow \lambda(G) \geq v(G)/(s + 1)$ holds for $s = 3$ provided $v(A) \neq 5$, as well as for every integer $s \geq 4$.

Proof Clearly $v(G) = v(A) + v(B)$ and $\lambda(G) \geq \lambda(A) + \lambda(B)$. Therefore $\lambda(B) \geq v(B)/(s + 1) \Rightarrow \lfloor v(A)/3 \rfloor + \lambda(G) \geq v(B)/(s + 1)$. We claim that if $s \geq 3$, then $\lfloor v(A)/3 \rfloor \geq v(A)/(s + 1)$. This is obviously true for $s \geq 4$ and also true for $s = 3$ because $v(A) \neq 5$. Therefore $\lambda(G) \geq v(A)/(s + 1) + v(B)/(s + 1) \geq v(G)/(s + 1)$. $\square$

3.4 Let $w = ab \in E(G)$ be a thread of G , $a \neq b$, $d(a, G) = d(b, G) = 3$, $G - w = A \cup B$, where A and B are disjoint subgraphs of G , $a \in V(A)$, and $b \in V(B)$. Let $x_i T_i b$, $i = 1, 2$, be the two different threads of G distinct from awb , $bz_i \in E(T_i)$, $\dot{A} = G - (B - b)$ (i.e. $\dot{A} = A \cup awb$ and $A' = \dot{A} \cup \{bz_1, bz_2\}$). Suppose that $v(\dot{A}) = 6$ and $\lambda(\dot{A}) = 2$.

(a1) If $e(T_i) = 2$ for $i = 1, 2$, then let $x_i T_i b = x_i z_i b$ (see Figure ??).

(a1.1) If $x_1 \neq x_2$, then let $G' = G - A'$.

(a1.2) If $x_1 = x_2$, then let G' be obtained from $G - A'$ by adding a new edge $u = z_1 z_2$ (see Figure ??).

(a2) If $e(T_1) = 3$, $e(T_2) = 2$, then let $x_1T_1b = x_1y_1z_1b$, $x_2T_2b = x_2z_2b$.

(a2.1) If $x_1 \neq x_2$, then let G' be obtained from $G - A'$ by adding a new edge $u = y_1x_2$ (see Figure ??).

(a2.2) If $x_1 = x_2$, then let G' be obtained from $G - A'$ by adding a new edge $u = y_1z_2$ (see Figure ??).

(a3) If $e(T_1) = 3$, $e(T_2) = 3$, then let $x_1T_1b = x_1y_1z_1b$, $x_2T_2b = x_2y_2z_2b$ and G' be obtained from $G - A'$ by adding a new edge $u = y_1y_2$ (see Figure ??).

Then $G \in \mathcal{G}_2^3 \Rightarrow G' \in \mathcal{G}_2^3$ and $\lambda(G') \geq v(G')/4 \Rightarrow \lambda(G) \geq v(G)/4$.

Proof It is easy to check that $G \in \mathcal{G}_2^3 \Rightarrow G' \in \mathcal{G}_2^3$. We prove the second claim. Since $v(\dot{A}) = 6$ and $\lambda(\dot{A}) = 2$, clearly $v(A') = 8$ and $\lambda(A') = 2$, respectively. Let $\mathcal{P}'$ be a maximum Λ -packing in G' .

(p1) Suppose that $u \in E(G') \Rightarrow u \notin E(\mathcal{P}')$. In particular, our assumption holds in case (a1.1). In cases (a1.2) and (a2.2), u belongs to a triangle-leaf of G' . Hence there exists a maximum Λ -packing in G' avoiding u . Therefore we can assume that $\mathcal{P}'$ avoids u , and so our assumption holds in these cases as well. In cases (a1.1) and (a2.2) $v(G) = v(G') + v(A')$ and $\lambda(G) \geq \lambda(G') + \lambda(A')$. Therefore $\lambda(G') \geq v(G')/4 \Rightarrow \lambda(G) \geq v(G')/4 + \lambda(A') = (v(G) - 8)/4 + 2 = v(G)/4$. In case (a1.2) $v(G) = v(G') + v(\dot{A})$ and $\lambda(G) \geq \lambda(G') + \lambda(\dot{A})$. Therefore $\lambda(G') \geq v(G')/4 \Rightarrow \lambda(G) \geq v(G')/4 + \lambda(A') = (v(G) - 6)/4 + 2 > v(G)/4$.

(p2) Suppose that $u \in E(G')$, $u \in E(\mathcal{P}')$, $e(T_1) = 3$, $e(T_2) = 2$, and $x_1 \neq x_2$, and so (a2.1) holds and $u = y_1x_2$.

(p2.1) Suppose that $x_1y_1 \notin E(\mathcal{P}')$, say $y_1x_2c_2 \in \mathcal{P}'$, where $c_2 \in V(G' - \{x_2, y_1\})$. Let $\mathcal{P}_1$ be a maximum Λ -packing of $A_1 = (A' - z_2) \cup y_1z_1$ and $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}' \cup \mathcal{P}_1 - y_1x_2c_2) \cup z_2x_2c_2$. Then $\mathcal{P}$ is a Λ -packing of G , $|\mathcal{P}| = |\mathcal{P}'| + |\mathcal{P}_1|$, and $\lambda(G) \geq |\mathcal{P}| = \lambda(G') + \lambda(A_1)$. Obviously $\lambda(A_1) = \lambda(A') = 2$. Therefore, as in (p1), $\lambda(G') \geq v(G')/4 \Rightarrow v(G)/4$.

(p2.2) Suppose that $x_1y_1 \in E(\mathcal{P}')$, i.e. $x_1y_1x_2 \in \mathcal{P}'$. Let $\mathcal{P}_2$ be a maximum Λ -packing of $A_2 = A' - z_1$ and $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}' \cup \mathcal{P}_1 \cup x_1y_1z_1) - x_1y_1x_2$. Then $\mathcal{P}$ is a Λ -packing of G , $|\mathcal{P}| = |\mathcal{P}'| + |\mathcal{P}_2|$, and $\lambda(G) \geq |\mathcal{P}| = \lambda(G') + \lambda(A_2)$. Obviously $\lambda(A_2) = 2$. Therefore, as in (p1), $\lambda(G') \geq v(G')/4 \Rightarrow v(G)/4$.

(p3) Suppose that $u \in E(\mathcal{P}')$, $e(T_1) = 3$, $e(T_2) = 3$, and so (a3) holds and $u = y_1y_2$. Because of symmetry, we can assume that $x_1y_1y_2 \in \mathcal{P}'$. Let $\mathcal{P}_3$ be a maximum Λ -packing of $A_3 = (A' - z_1) \cup y_2z_2$ and $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}' \cup \mathcal{P}_3 - x_1y_1y_2) \cup x_1y_1z_1$. Then $\mathcal{P}$ is a Λ -packing of G , $|\mathcal{P}| = |\mathcal{P}'| + |\mathcal{P}_3|$, and $\lambda(G) \geq |\mathcal{P}| = \lambda(G') + \lambda(A_3)$. Obviously $\lambda(A_3) = 2$. Therefore, as in (p1), $\lambda(G') \geq v(G')/4 \Rightarrow v(G)/4$. $\square$

3.5 Let G be a graph, $a \in V(G)$, $d(a, G) = d$, $\{aT_ix_i : i \in I\}$ be the set of threads of G with a common vertex a , and $S_a = \cup\{T_i - x_i : i \in I\}$ (possibly $x_i = x_j$ when $i \neq j$). Let $s \geq 3$ be an integer and suppose that $3 \leq d \leq s$. Suppose that $e(T_i) \leq 3$ for every $i \in I$. Let $I = I_1 \cup I_2 \cup I_3$ be a partition of I such that $I_1 \neq I$ and (1) $i \in I_1$ implies T_i is a triangle, (2) $i \in I_2$ implies T_i is a 2-edge path, say x_iz_ia , and (3) $i \in I_3$ implies T_i is a 3-edge path, say $x_iy_iz_ia$.

Let $\mathcal{T}_k = \{aT_ix_i : i \in I_k\}$ and $X_k = \{x \in V(G) : aTx \in \mathcal{T}_k\}$, i.e. X_k is the set of end-vertices of threads in $\mathcal{T}_k$ that are different from a , $k \in \{2, 3\}$. Let t_x be the number

of threads in $\mathcal{T}_2$ ending at x . Let $X'_2 = \{x \in X_2 \setminus X_3 : t_x \geq 2, d(x) = t_x + 1\}$. If $x \in X'_2$, then let L_x be a (unique) thread which ends at x and is not in $\mathcal{T}$, $l_x = e(L_x)$, and L'_x be the subpath of L_x which ends at x and has l_x vertices. Suppose that each $l_x \leq 3$ and if $|I_3|$ is odd, then $I_2 \neq \emptyset$. Let G' be obtained from G as follows.

(a1) Suppose that I_3 is even. Let $S'_a = (S_a - \cup\{y_i : i \in I_3\}) \cup (\cup\{L'_x : x \in X'_2\})$. Partition I_3 into pairs $\{i, i'\}$ and put $G' = (G \cup \{y_i y_{i'} : i \in I_3\}) - S'_a$ (see Figure ??).

(a2) Suppose that I_3 is odd, and so $I_3 \neq \emptyset$. Choose $r \in I_3$ and $j \in I_2$ and partition $I_3 - r$ into pairs $\{i, i'\}$. (Since by our assumption, I_3 is odd, $I_2 \neq \emptyset$, and so such j exists.)

(a2.1) If $x_r \neq x_j$, then let $S'_a = (S_a - (\cup\{y_i : i \in I_3 - r\} \cup z_j)) \cup (\cup\{L'_x : x \in X'_2 - x_j\})$ and $G' = G \cup x_r z_j \cup \{y_i y_{i'} : i \in I_3 - r\} - S'_a$ (see Figure ??).

(a2.2) If $x_r = x_j$, then let $S'_a = (S_a - (\cup\{y_i : i \in I_3\} \cup z_j)) \cup (\cup\{L'_x : x \in X'_2 - x_j\})$ and $G' = G \cup y_r z_j \cup \{y_i y_{i'} : i \in I_3 - r\} - S'_a$ (see Figure ??).

(We say that G' is obtained from G by a reduction of the vertex star S_a .)

Then $G \in \mathcal{G}_2^s \Rightarrow G' \in \mathcal{G}_2^s$ and $\lambda(G') \geq v(G')/(s+1) \Rightarrow \lambda(G) \geq v(G)/(s+1)$.

Proof We can assume that G is a connected graph. It is easy to check that $G \in \mathcal{G}_2^s \Rightarrow G' \in \mathcal{G}_2^s$. We prove the second claim. Let $\mathcal{P}'$ be a maximum Λ -packing of G' , and so $|\mathcal{P}'| = \lambda(G')$. Obviously, $v(G) = v(G') + v(S'_a)$. Let $|X'_2| = d'$. Obviously $d' \leq d \leq s$.

(p1) Consider case (a1) with $I_3 \neq \emptyset$, and so $|I_3|$ is even. Then $v(G) = v(G') + v(S'_a)$ and $v(S'_a) = d + 1 + \sum\{l_x : x \in X'_2\}$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be obtained from $\mathcal{P}'$ as follows. If edge $y_i y_{i'}$ belongs to a 2-edge path $L' \in \mathcal{P}'$ with the center, say y_k in $\{y_i, y_{i'}\}$, then replace L' in $\mathcal{P}'$ by the 2-edge path $L = (L' - y_k) \cup \{z_k, y_k z_k\}$, where $\{k, k'\} = \{i, i'\}$. Then $|\mathcal{P}| = |\mathcal{P}'| = \lambda(G')$. Let $S''_a = S'_a - V(\mathcal{P})$. Then $\mathcal{P}$ is a Λ -packing in G and $\lambda(G) \geq |\mathcal{P}| + \lambda(S''_a)$. and $\lambda(S''_a) = 1 + d'$. Since $d' \leq d \leq s$ and each $L_x \leq 3$, we have $v(S'_a) \leq s + 1 + 3d'$. Since $s \geq 3$, clearly $\lambda(S'_a) = 1 + d' > 1 + 3d'/(s+1) \geq v(S'_a)/(s+1)$. Therefore $\lambda(G') \geq v(G')/(s+1) \Rightarrow \lambda(G) \geq \lambda(G') + \lambda(S''_a) \geq v(G')/(s+1) + v(S'_a)/(s+1) = v(G)/(s+1)$.

(p2) Consider case (a2.1), i.e. I_3 is odd and $x_r \neq x_j$. Then $v(G) = v(G') + v(S'_a)$ and $v(S'_a) = d + 1 + \sum\{l_x : x \in X'_2\}$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be obtained from $\mathcal{P}'$ as follows. If edge $y_i y_{i'}$ in G' , where $i \neq r$, belongs to a 2-edge path $L' \in \mathcal{P}'$ with the center, say y_k in $\{y_i, y_{i'}\}$, then, as in (p1), replace L' in $\mathcal{P}'$ by the 2-edge path $L = (L' - y_k) \cup \{z_k, y_k z_k\}$, where $\{k, k'\} = \{i, i'\}$. If edge $x_r z_j$ in G' belongs to a 2-edge path $P' \in \mathcal{P}'$ and $P' \neq x_r z_j x_j$ ($P' = x_r z_j x_j$), then replace P' in $\mathcal{P}'$ by 2-edge path $P = (P' - z_j) \cup \{y_r, x_r y_r\}$ (respectively, by 2-edge path $P = x_r y_r z_r$). Then $|\mathcal{P}| = |\mathcal{P}'| = \lambda(G')$. Let $S''_a = S'_a - V(\mathcal{P})$. Then $\mathcal{P}$ is a Λ -packing in G and $\lambda(G) \geq |\mathcal{P}| + \lambda(S''_a)$. As in (p1), $\lambda(S'_a) = 1 + d' \geq v(S'_a)/(s+1)$. Therefore $\lambda(G') \geq v(G')/(s+1) \Rightarrow \lambda(G) \geq \lambda(G') + \lambda(S''_a) \geq v(G')/(s+1) + v(S'_a)/(s+1) = v(G)/(s+1)$.

(p3) Consider case (a2.2), i.e. I_3 is odd and $x_r = x_j$. Then $v(G) = v(G') + v(S'_a)$ and $v(S'_a) = d + \sum\{l_x : x \in X'_2\}$. Since $y_r z_j$ belongs to a triangle-block in G' , there exists a maximum Λ -packing $\mathcal{P}'$ in G' that avoids $y_r z_j$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be obtained from $\mathcal{P}'$ as follows. If edge $y_i y_{i'}$ in G' , where $i \neq r$, belongs to a 2-edge path $L' \in \mathcal{P}'$ with the center, say y_k in $\{y_i, y_{i'}\}$, then, as in (p1), replace L' in $\mathcal{P}'$ by the 2-edge path

$L = (L' - y_{k'}) \cup \{z_k, y_k z_j\}$, where $\{k, k'\} = \{i, i'\}$. Then $\mathcal{P}$ is a Λ -packing in G and $|\mathcal{P}| = |\mathcal{P}'| = \lambda(G')$. Let $S''_a = S'_a - V(\mathcal{P})$. Then $\lambda(G) \geq |\mathcal{P}| + \lambda(S''_a)$. It is easy to see, that $\lambda(S''_a) = 1 + d'$ and $v(S'_a) \leq d + 3d' \leq s + 1 + 3d'$. Hence, as in (p1), $\lambda(S''_a) = 1 + d' \geq v(S'_a)/(s + 1)$. Therefore $\lambda(G') \geq v(G')/(s + 1) \Rightarrow \lambda(G) \geq \lambda(G') + \lambda(S''_a) \geq v(G')/(s + 1) + v(S'_a)/(s + 1) = v(G)/(s + 1)$. $\square$

3.6 Let $a \in V(G)$, $d(a, G) = 3$, $aT_i x_i$ be the three threads ending at a . Suppose that x_j belongs to a 5-leaf X_j , $e(T_j) = 1$ for $j = 1, 2$. Let G' be obtained from $G - (X_1 \cup X_2 - \{x_1, x_2\})$ by adding a new edge $x_1 x_2$ (see Figure ??). Then $\lambda(G') \geq v(G')/4 \Rightarrow \lambda(G) \geq v(G)/4$.

Proof Let $X = X_1 \cup X_2 - \{x_1, x_2\}$. Obviously, $v(G) = v(G') + v(X)$, $v(X) = 8$, $\lambda(X) = 2$, and $\lambda(G) \geq \lambda(G') + \lambda(X)$. Therefore $\lambda(G') \geq v(G')/4 \Rightarrow \lambda(G) \geq v(G')/4 + \lambda(X) = (v(G) - 8)/4 + 2 = v(G)/4$. $\square$

4 Constructions of extremal graphs

In this section we give constructions providing infinitely many connected graphs for which the bounds in 1.6, 1.7, and 1.9 are attained.

Let $s \geq 1$ be an integer and $\mathcal{T}_s$ denote the set of trees T such that every non-leaf vertex in T has degree s . Obviously $T \in \mathcal{T}_1 \Rightarrow v(T) = 2$.

Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer and T_k be obtained from $T \in \mathcal{T}_s$ by subdividing each non-dangling edge of T with k vertices and each dangling edge with $k - 1$ vertices. Let $\mathcal{T}_{s,k} = \{T_k : T \in \mathcal{T}_s\}$.

A Λ_k -packing N is a subgraph of G such that every component of N is a k -edge path. Let $\lambda_k(G)$ denote the maximum number of disjoint k -edge paths in G . Obviously $\lambda_1(G) = \tau_1(G)$ is the size of a maximum matching in G , $\lambda(G) = \lambda_2(G) = \tau_2(G)$, and $\lambda_k(G) \leq \tau_k(G)$.

The following result provides infinitely many connected graphs for which the bound in 1.9 is attained.

4.1 Let $k \geq 1$ and $s \geq 1$ be integers and $T \in \mathcal{T}_s$. Then $\lambda_k(T_k) = \tau_k(T_k) = (v(T_k) - k)/(sk - k + 1)$.

Proof We prove our claim on $\lambda_k(T_k)$ by induction on $v(T)$. The proof on $\tau_k(T_k)$ is similar. If $s = 1$, then $v(T) = 2$, and so our claim is true. So let $s \geq 2$. Then $v(T) \geq s + 1$. If $v(T) = s + 1$, then $T = K_{1,s+1}$, and so our claim is true. So let $v(T) > s + 1$. Let L^x denote the set of leaves in T adjacent to a vertex x . Since $T \in \mathcal{T}_s$ and $v(T) > s + 1$, clearly T has a vertex z with $|L^z| = s - 1$. Let $T' = T - L^z$. Then $v(T') = v(T) - (s - 1)$ and $T' \in \mathcal{T}_s$. Let Y^z be the subgraph of T induced by $z \cup L^z$. Clearly Y^z is isomorphic to $K_{1,s-1}$. Let Y_k^z be obtained from Y^z by subdividing each edge with $k - 1$ vertices. Then $T'_k = T_k - Y_k^z$. Obviously $\lambda_k(Y_k^z) = 1$, $v(T_k) = v(T'_k) + v(Y_k^z)$, $v(Y_k^z) = sk - k + 1$, and T_k has a maximum Λ_k -packing $\mathcal{P}$ that contains exactly one k -edge path from Y_k^z . Hence $\lambda_k(T_k) = \lambda_k(T'_k) + \lambda_k(Y_k^z)$. Since $v(T') < v(T)$, by the induction hypothesis, $\lambda_k(T'_k) = (v(T'_k) - k)/(sk - k + 1)$. Then $\lambda_k(T_k) = (v(T'_k) - k)/(sk - k + 1) + \lambda_k(Y_k^z) = (v(T_k) - (sk - k + 1) - k)/(sk - k + 1) + 1 =$

$$(v(T_k) - k)/(sk - k + 1).$$

□

Let Y denote the graph obtained from three disjoint cycles A_i of five vertices by adding a new vertex a and three edges $\{aa_1, aa_2, aa_3\}$, where $a_i \in V(A_i)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. It is easy to see that $\lambda(Y) = v(Y)/4 = 4$. Here is a more general construction of extremal graphs which shows, in particular, that there are infinitely many connected graphs for which the bounds in **1.6** and in **1.7** are attained.

4.2 *Let H be a graph with possible loops and parallel edges such that each vertex of H is of degree at least three and at most s . Let H_k be a graph obtained from H by subdividing every edge with exactly $k \geq 1$ vertices. Then $\lambda_k(H_k) = \tau_k(H_k) = v(H)$. Moreover, $\lambda(H_2) = v(H) \geq v(H_2)/(s+1)$ and the equality holds if and only if H is an s -regular graph.*

Proof Obviously $\lambda_k(H_k) \leq \tau_k(H_k)$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a Λ_k -packing in H_k . Then each k -edge tree in $\mathcal{P}$ contains a vertex from $V(H)$. Therefore $\lambda_k(H) \leq \tau_k(H_k) \leq v(H)$. We can assume that H is connected. Let T be a spanning tree of H . Obviously there is an edge $e = xy \in E(H) - E(T)$. Let $D = T \cup e$ and D_x be the directed graph obtained from D by directing each edge of $T \subset D$ towards x and by directing e from x to y . For $z \in D_x$, let $p(z)$ denote the edge in D_x with the tail z . Clearly $p : V(D_x) \rightarrow E(D_x)$ is a bijection. Let $\ddot{D}$ be obtained from D by subdividing each edge of D with exactly k vertices. Then every edge e in D is replaced in $\ddot{D}$ by a thread which we denote by $L(e)$. Let $p_k(z)$ denote the k -edge path in thread $L(p(z))$ containing z . We can assume that $\ddot{D} \subseteq H_k$. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{p_k(z) : z \in V(D_x)\}$. Then clearly $\mathcal{P}$ is a Λ_k -packing in $\ddot{D}$, and therefore in H_k , and $|\mathcal{P}| = v(T) = v(H)$. Therefore $\lambda_k(H) = \tau_k(H_k) = |\mathcal{P}| = v(H)$.

Obviously, $2e(H) \leq sv(H)$ and $v(H_2) = v(H) + 2e(H) \leq (s+1)v(H)$. Therefore $\lambda(H_2) = v(H) \geq v(H_2)/(s+1)$ and the equality holds if and only if H is an s -regular graph. □

5 Proof of Theorem 1.6

First we will establish some properties of a hypothetical minimum counterexample to theorem **1.6**. We will use these properties to proof theorem **1.6** by showing that no counterexamples exist.

In all claims below, except for **1.6**, we assume that G is a connected graph satisfying the following conditions:

- (a1) G is an $\mathcal{F}_2^3$ -minimal graph,
- (a2) $\lambda(G) < v(G)/4$, and
- (a3) G has the minimum number of vertices among all graphs satisfying (a1) and (a2).

5.1 *Let aTb be a thread of G . If neither a nor b belongs to a 5-leaf, then $e(T) \in \{2, 3\}$.*

Proof (uses **3.1** and **3.2**). Suppose, on the contrary, that $e(T) \notin \{2, 3\}$. Obviously $e(T) \geq 1$. If T has exactly one edge u , then $G - u \in \mathcal{F}_2^3$, and so G is not $\mathcal{F}_2^3$ -minimal,

a contradiction. Therefore we have exactly one of the following two cases:

(c1) $e(T) \in \{3k+1, 3k+2\}$, where $k \geq 1$ and

(c2) $e(T) = 3k$, where $k \geq 2$.

(p1) Suppose that $e(T) \in \{3k+1, 3k+2\}$, where $k \geq 1$. Let, as in **3.1**, $G' = G - (T - \{a, b\})$. Since $G \in \mathcal{F}_2^3$ and neither a nor b belongs to a 5-leaf, we have $G' \in \mathcal{F}_2^3$. Clearly $v(G') < v(G)$. By the minimality of G , $\lambda(G') \geq v(G')/4$. Then by **3.1**, $\lambda(G) \geq v(G)/4$, a contradiction.

(p2) Now suppose that $e(T) = 3k$, where $k \geq 2$. Let G' be the graph defined in **3.2**. Since $G \in \mathcal{F}_2^3$, obviously $G' \in \mathcal{F}_2^3$. Clearly $v(G') < v(G)$. By the minimality of G , $\lambda(G') \geq v(G')/4$. Then by **3.2**, $\lambda(G) \geq v(G)/4$, a contradiction. $\square$

5.2 G has no 5-leaves.

Proof (uses **3.3**, **3.4**, **3.6**, and **5.1**). Suppose, on the contrary, that G has a 5-leaf X_1 . Let aT_1x_1 be the stem of X_1 , i.e. a (unique) thread in G such that $x_1 \in V(X_1)$. If a belongs to a 5-leaf or a cycle-leaf A , then $G = A \cup T_1 \cup X_1$ and G has a Hamiltonian path. Hence $\lambda(G) = \lfloor v(G)/3 \rfloor$. Obviously $v(G) > 5$. Therefore $\lambda(G) = \lfloor v(G)/3 \rfloor \geq v(G)/4$, a contradiction. So we assume that a belongs to neither a 5-leaf nor a cycle-leaf.

(p1) Suppose that $e(T_1) \geq 2$. Let $\dot{X}_1 = X_1 \cup (T_1 - a)$ and, as in **3.3**, $G' = G - \dot{X}_1$. Then $\lambda(\dot{X}_1) = \lfloor v(\dot{X}_1)/3 \rfloor$. Since $G \in \mathcal{F}_2^3$ and a belongs to no 5-leaf, we have $G' \in \mathcal{F}_2^3$. Clearly $v(G') < v(G)$. By the minimality of G , $\lambda(G') \geq v(G')/4$. Then by **3.3**, $\lambda(G) \geq v(G)/4$, a contradiction.

(p2) Now suppose that $e(T_1) = 1$. Let aT_2x_2 and aT_3x_3 be the threads containing a and distinct from aT_1x_1 . Since a belongs to no cycle-leaf, clearly $T_2 \neq T_3$. If x_i belongs to no 5-leaf, then by **5.1**, $e(T_i) \in \{2, 3\}$.

(p2.1) Suppose that neither x_2 nor x_3 belongs to a 5-leaf. Then $e(T_i) \in \{2, 3\}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let G' be the graph defined in **3.4**, where $\dot{A} = X_1 \cup T_1$. Then $v(\dot{A}) = 6$ and $\lambda(\dot{A}) = 2$. By **3.4**, $G \in \mathcal{F}_2^3$ implies $G' \in \mathcal{F}_2^3$. Clearly $v(G') < v(G)$. By the minimality of G , $\lambda(G') \geq v(G')/4$. Then by **3.4**, $\lambda(G) \geq v(G)/4$, a contradiction.

(p2.2) Now suppose that x_i belongs to a 5-leaf X_i for some $i \in \{2, 3\}$, say for $i = 2$. Then by the above arguments on $e(T_1)$, we can assume that $e(T_2) = 1$. Let G' be the graph defined in **3.6**. Then $G \in \mathcal{F}_2^3$ implies $G' \in \mathcal{F}_2^3$. Clearly $v(G') < v(G)$. By the minimality of G , $\lambda(G') \geq v(G')/4$. Then by **3.6**, $\lambda(G) \geq v(G)/4$, a contradiction. $\square$

5.3 Every path-thread in G has exactly three edges.

Proof (uses **3.5**, **5.1**, and **5.2**). By **5.2**, G has no 5-leaves. Let aT_1x_1 be a path-thread in G . By **5.1**, $e(T_1) \in \{2, 3\}$. Suppose, on the contrary, that $e(T_1) = 2$. If each end-vertex of T belongs to a cycle-leaf, then obviously $\lambda(G) \geq v(G)/4$. Therefore we can assume that one end-vertex, say a , of T_1 belongs to no cycle-leaf.

Let aT_1x_1 , aT_2x_2 , and aT_3x_3 be the three different path-threads ending at a . Since G has no 5-leaves, by **5.1**, $e(T_2) \in \{2, 3\}$ and $e(T_3) \in \{2, 3\}$. Let $x_i x'_i, aa_i \in E(T_i)$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and so $a_i = x'_i$ if and only if $e(T_i) = 2$.

If $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = x$, then G consists of three threads with common end-vertices a and x . Since $G \in \mathcal{F}_2^3$, we have $v(G) \geq 6$. Therefore $v(G) \in \{6, 7\}$. Obviously $\lambda(G) = 2 = \lceil v(G)/4 \rceil$, a contradiction. Therefore we assume that $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ has at least two different vertices. Thus we have (up to symmetry) the following three cases: (c1) $x_1 \neq x_2 = x_3 = x$, (c2) $x = x_1 = x_2 \neq x_3$, and (c3) all x_i 's are different.

Let G' be a graph obtained from G by a reduction of the vertex star S_a (see **3.5**). Namely (we remind that in this particular case), G' is obtained from G as follows.

Consider case (c1), i.e. $x_1 \neq x_2 = x_3 = x$. If $\{e(T_2), e(T_3)\} = \{2, 3\}$, say $\{e(T_2) = 2$ and $e(T_3) = 3$, then $G' = (G - a_1 a a_3) \cup x'_2 x'_3$. If $e(T_2) = e(T_3) = 2$, then $G' = G - (T_1 \cup T_2 \cup T_3 \cup Z - x_1)$, where Z is the set of inner vertices of the thread containing x and distinct from T_i , $i \in \{2, 3\}$. If $\{e(T_2) = e(T_3)\} = 3$, then $G' = (G - \{a, a_1, a_2, a_3\}) \cup x'_2 x'_3$.

Consider case (c2), i.e. $x = x_1 = x_2 \neq x_3$. If $e(T_2) = 2$ and $e(T_3) = 3$, then we can put $G' = (G \cup a'_1 x_3) - a'_2 a a'_3 x'_3$. If $e(T_2) = 3$ and $e(T_3) = 3$, then we can put $G' = (G \cup x'_1 x'_2) - a'_2 a a'_3$. If $e(T_2) = e(T_3) = 2$, then $G' = G - (T_1 \cup T_2 \cup T_3 \cup Z - x_1)$, where Z is the set of inner vertices of the thread containing x and distinct from T_i , $i \in \{1, 2\}$. If $\{e(T_2) = e(T_3)\} = 3$, then $G' = (G \cup x'_2 x'_3) - (T_1 \cup T_3 - \{x_1, x_3\})$.

Consider case (c3), i.e. all x_i 's are different. If $e(T_2) = e(T_3) = 2$, then $G' = G - \{a, a_1, a_2, a_3\}$. If $\{e(T_2), e(T_3)\} = \{2, 3\}$, say $e(T_2) = 2$ and $e(T_3) = 3$, then we can put $G' = (G - \{a, a_1, a_3, x'_3\}) \cup x'_2 x_3$. If $e(T_2) = e(T_3) = 3$, then $G' = (G - \{a, a_1, a_2, a_3\}) \cup x'_2 x'_3$.

By **3.5**, $G \in \mathcal{F}_2^3 \subset \mathcal{G}_2^3 \Rightarrow G' \in \mathcal{G}_2^3$. Since **5.2**, G has no 5-leaves, we have $G' \in \mathcal{F}_2^3$. Clearly $v(G') < v(G)$. By the minimality of G , $\lambda(G') \geq v(G')/4$. Then by **3.5**, $\lambda(G) \geq v(G)/4$, a contradiction. $\square$

5.4 Every cycle-leaf of G is a triangle.

Proof (uses **3.3**, **5.2**, and **5.3**). Let A be a cycle-leaf of G and aTb be the stem of A with $a \in V(A)$.

Suppose that $v(\dot{A}) \neq 5$. Let, as in **3.3**, $G' = G - \dot{A}$. Obviously $\lambda(\dot{A}) = \lfloor v(\dot{A})/3 \rfloor$. By **5.2**, G has no 5-leaves. Therefore $G \in \mathcal{F}_2^3$ implies $G' \in \mathcal{F}_2^3$. Clearly $v(G') < v(G)$. By the minimality of G , $\lambda(G') \geq v(G')/4$. Then by **3.3**, $\lambda(G) \geq v(G)/4$, a contradiction.

Now suppose that $v(\dot{A}) = 5$, i.e. $v(A) + e(T) = 6$. By **5.3**, $e(T) = 3$. Therefore $v(A) = 3$. $\square$

Now we are ready to prove

1.6 Let $G \in \mathcal{F}_2^3$. Then $\lambda(G) \geq v(G)/4$.

Proof (uses **2.1**, **4.2**, **5.3**, and **5.4**). Suppose, on the contrary, that our claim is not true. Obviously, a triangle is the minimum graph in $\mathcal{F}_2^3$ and our claim is true for a triangle. Let G be a vertex minimum counterexample. By **2.1**, we can assume that G is $\mathcal{F}_2^3$ -minimal. In other words, G is a graph such that (a1) G be an $\mathcal{F}_2^3$ -minimal graph, (a2) $\lambda(G) < v(G)/4$, and (a3) G has the minimum number of vertices among all graphs satisfying (a1) and (a2). By **5.3**, each path-thread of G has exactly three edges. By **5.4**, each leaf of G is a triangle. Then by **4.2**, $\lambda(G) = v(G)/4$, a contradiction. $\square$

The construction in 4.2 provides infinitely many 2-connected graphs (moreover, subdivisions of 3-connected graphs) G in $\mathcal{F}_2^3$ such that $\lambda(G) = v(G)/4$.

6 Proof of Theorem 1.7

In all claims below, except for 1.7, we assume that G is a connected graph satisfying the following conditions:

- (a1) G is an $\mathcal{G}_2^s$ -minimal graph, $s \geq 4$,
- (a2) $\lambda(G) < v(G)/(s+1)$, and
- (a3) G has the minimum number of vertices among all graphs satisfying (a1) and (a2).

6.1 *Let xTy be a thread of G , where possibly $x = y$. Then $e(T) \in \{2, 3\}$.*

Proof (uses 3.1). Suppose, on the contrary, that $e(T) \notin \{2, 3\}$. Obviously $e(T) \geq 1$. If T has exactly one edge u , then $G - u \in \mathcal{G}_2^s$, and so G is not $\mathcal{G}_2^s$ -minimal, a contradiction. Therefore $e(T) \geq 4$. Let, as in 3.1, $G' = G - (T - \{x, y\})$. Obviously $G \in \mathcal{G}_2^s$ implies $G' \in \mathcal{G}_2^s$. Clearly $v(G') < v(G)$. Therefore by the minimality of G , $\lambda(G') \geq v(G')/(s+1)$. Then by 3.1, $\lambda(G) \geq v(G)/(s+1)$, a contradiction. $\square$

6.2 *Every thread of G has exactly three edges.*

Proof (uses 3.5 and 6.1). If T is a cycle-thread of G , then by 6.1, T is a triangle, and so $e(T) = 3$. So let us consider a path-thread $a_1T_1x_1$. By 6.1, $e(T_1) \in \{2, 3\}$.

Suppose, on the contrary, that $e(T_1) = 2$. Let $\{T_i : i \in \{1, \dots, k\}\}$ be the set of threads of G with a common end-vertex a . Let G' be a graph defined in 3.5. Then by $G \in \mathcal{G}_2^s$ implies $G' \in \mathcal{G}_2^s$. Clearly $v(G') < v(G)$. Therefore by 3.5, the minimality of G , $\lambda(G) \geq v(G)/(s+1)$. Then by 3.5, $\lambda(G) \geq v(G)/(s+1)$, a contradiction. $\square$

Now we are ready to prove

1.7 *Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_2^s$ and $s \geq 4$. Then $\lambda(G) \geq v(G)/(s+1)$.*

Proof (uses 2.1, 4.2, and 6.2). Suppose, on the contrary, that our claim is not true. Obviously, a triangle is the minimum graph in $\mathcal{G}_2^s$ and our claim is true for a triangle. Let G be a vertex minimum counterexample. By 2.1, we can assume that G is $\mathcal{G}_2^s$ -minimal. In other words, G is a graph such that (a1) G be an $\mathcal{G}_2^s$ -minimal graph, (a2) $\lambda(G) < v(G)/(s+1)$, and (a3) G has the minimum number of vertices among all graphs satisfying (a1) and (a2). By 6.2, each thread of G has exactly three edges. Then by 4.2, $\lambda(G) \geq v(G)/(s+1)$, a contradiction. $\square$

The construction in 4.2 provides infinitely many 2-connected graphs (moreover, subdivisions of 3-connected graphs) G in $\mathcal{G}_2^s$ such that $\lambda(G) = v(G)/(s+1)$.

7 Proof of Theorem 1.9

A subtree B of a tree is called a *branch* of T if either $B = T$ or $T - B$ is also a tree. If $B \neq T$, then let $r(B)$ be the vertex of B adjacent to a vertex in $T - B$. We call $r(B)$ the *root* of the branch B .

Let, as above, $\tau_k(G)$ denote the maximum number of disjoint k -edge trees in G .

7.1 Let $s \geq 3$ and $k \geq 1$ be integers, T a tree, $T \in \mathcal{G}_1^s$, and $v(T) \geq k + 1$. Then T has a branch B such that $v(B) \leq (s - 1)k + 1$ and $\tau_k(B) = 1$.

Proof We prove our claim by induction on $v(T)$. If $v(T) = k + 1$, then our claim is obviously true. Therefore let $v(T) > k + 1$. A branch B of a tree $T \in \mathcal{G}_1^s$ is called k -good if $v(B) \leq (s - 1)k + 1$ and $\tau_k(B) = 1$. Let x be a leaf of T and $T' = T - x$. Clearly T' is a tree, $T' \in \mathcal{G}_1^s$, and $v(T') < v(T)$. Therefore by the induction hypothesis, T' has a k -good branch B' . Let $r' = r(B')$ and $xy \in E(T)$. Let us assume that B' is a k -good branch in T' having the minimum number of vertices. If $y \in V(T - (B' - r'))$, then B' is a required branch of T . Therefore let $y \in V(B' - r')$. Since $\tau_k(B') = 1$ and B' is a vertex minimum k -good branch in T' , clearly $\tau_k(C') = 0$ for every component C' of $B' - r'$, and so $v(C') \leq k$. Therefore every $k + 1$ -vertex subtree S in B' contains r' . Let X' the component of $B' - r'$ containing y , $X = X' \cup xy$, and $B = B' \cup xy$. Clearly B is a branch of T and $r' = r$ is the root of B .

Suppose that $v(X') < k$. Then $v(X) = v(X') + 1 \leq k$, $v(B') < (s - 1)k + 1$, and so $v(B) = v(B') + 1 \leq (s - 1)k + 1$. Since $\tau_k(B') = 1$, clearly $\tau_k(B) \geq 1$, and so B has a k -edge subtree. Since $v(C) \leq k$ for every component C of $B - r$, every subtree of k edges in B contains r , and so $\tau_k(B) = 1$. Therefore B is a k -good branch in T .

Now suppose that $v(X') = k$. Then X is a k -edge subtree of T , X is a branch of T , $v(X) \leq v(B')(s - 1)k + 1$, and $\tau_k(X) = 1$. Therefore X is a k -good branch in T . $\square$

Now we are ready to prove

1.9 Let $s \geq 3$ and $k \geq 1$ be integers, $G \in \mathcal{G}_1^s$, and G has no k -vertex components. Then $\tau_k(G) \geq (v(G) - k)/(sk - k + 1)$.

Proof (uses 7.1). Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_1^s$. We can assume that G is connected and $v(G) \geq k$. Since G has no k -vertex component, $v(G) \geq k + 1$. Let T be a spanning tree of G . Clearly $T \in \mathcal{G}_1^s$ and $\lambda_k(G) \geq \lambda_k(T)$. Therefore it is sufficient to proof our claim for every tree T in $\mathcal{G}_1^s$.

We prove our claim for trees by induction on $v(T)$. If $v(T) = k + 1$, then our claim is obviously true. So let $v(T) > k + 1$. By 7.1, T has a branch B such that $v(B) \leq (s - 1)k + 1$ and $\tau_k(B) = 1$. Let $T' = T - B$. Clearly $T' \in \mathcal{G}_1^s$, $v(T') < v(T)$, and $\tau_k(T) \geq \tau_k(T') + \tau_k(B)$. By the induction hypothesis, $\tau_k(G') \geq (v(G') - k)/(sk - k + 1)$. Therefore $\tau_k(G) \geq (v(G') - k)/(sk - k + 1) + 1 = (v(T) - v(B) - k)/(sk - k + 1) + 1$. Since $v(B) \leq (s - 1)k + 1$, we have $\tau_k(G) \geq (v(T) - (sk - k + 1) - k)/(sk - k + 1) + 1 = (v(G) - k)/(sk - k + 1)$. $\square$

The construction in 4.1 provides infinitely many trees T in $\mathcal{G}_1^s$ such that $\tau_k(G) = (v(G) - k)/(sk - k + 1)$.

References

- [1] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, *Graph theory with applications*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1976.

- [2] G. Cornuéjols and D. Hartvigsen, An extension of matching theory, *J. Combin. Theory B* **40** (1986) 285–296.
- [3] R. Diestel, *Graph Theory*, Springer, 2005.
- [4] Y. Egawa, M. Kano, A. Kelmans, Star partitions of graphs, *Journal of Graph Theory*, **25** No. 3, (1997) 185–190.
- [5] P. Hell and D. Kirkpatrick, On the complexity of general graph factor problems, *SIAM J. Comput.* **12**, (1983) 601–609.
- [6] A. Kaneko, A. Kelmans, T. Nishimura, On packing 3–vertex paths in a graph, *J. Graph Theory* **36** (2001) 175–197.
- [7] A. Kelmans, Packing induced stars in a graph, *RUTCOR Research Report 26-94*, Rutgers University (1994) 1–25.
- [8] A. Kelmans, On a duality theorem for packing induced stars in a graph, *RUTCOR Research Report 43-96*, Rutgers University (1996) 1–6.
- [9] A. Kelmans, Optimal packing of induced stars in a graph, *Discrete Mathematics*, **173**, (1997) 97–127.
- [10] A. Kelmans, Packing trees in graphs, *DIMACS Technical Report 2000-44*, Rutgers University (2000).
- [11] A. Kelmans, Packing P_k in a cubic graph is NP –hard for $k \geq 3$, manuscript.
- [12] A. Kelmans, D. Mubayi, and B. Sudakov, Packing trees in a regular graph *DIMACS Research Report 2000-22*, Rutgers University (2000) 1–10 and *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, vol. 8 (1) (2001).
- [13] A. Kelmans and D. Mubayi, How many disjoint 2–edge paths must a cubic graph have ?, *DIMACS Technical Report 2000-23*, Rutgers University (2000) 1–18 and *Journal of Graph Theory*, **45** (2004) 57–79.
- [14] M. Las Vergnas, An extension of Tutte’s 1–Factor theorem, *Discrete Mathematics* **23** (1978), 241 - 255.
- [15] M. Loebl and S. Poljak, Subgraph packing – A survey, In *Topics in Combinatorics and Graph Theory: Essays in Honour of Gerhard Ringel*, Phisica Verlag, Wursburg, 1990, 491–503.
- [16] M. Loebl and S. Poljak, On matroids induced by packing subgraphs, *J. Combin. Theory B* **44** (1988) 338–354.
- [17] L. Lovasz and M. Plummer, *Matching Theory*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986.